

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/587,569	CAPPOLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending after RCE</u>	
(1) <u>STEPHEN KAPUSHOC</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Prakash Subbiah</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2011</u>	Time: <u>4:30pm</u>	

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31-39

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An Examiner's Amended to the claims was discussed and agreed to.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stephen Kapushoc/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)